



Minutes of meeting

LOCAL COMMITTEE (Surrey Heath)

Date: Thursday 16 February 2012

Time: 6.30 PM

Place: Sports Pavilion, Benner Lane, West End, GU24 9JP

Members present:

Surrey County Council [6]

Cllr Bill Chapman (Camberley East – Old Dean, St Paul’s & Town)
Cllr Denis Fuller (Camberley West – Frimley, St Michael’s & Watchetts)
Cllr David Ivison (Heatherside & Parkside)
Cllr Stuart MacLeod (Windlesham, Bagshot & Lighwater)
Cllr Chris Pitt (Frimley Green & Mytchett)
Cllr Lavinia Sealy (Bisley, Chobham & West End)

Surrey Heath Borough Council [6]

Cllr Richard Brooks (Town)
Cllr Vivienne Chapman (St. Paul’s)
Cllr Colin Dougan (St. Michael’s)
Cllr Edward Hawkins (Parkside)
Cllr Paul Ilnicki (Heatherside)
Cllr Valerie White (Bagshot)

All references to items refer to the Agenda for the meeting.
The meeting was preceded by an Open Public Question Time. The notes are in **Annex A**.

Part A – In Public (voting by county members on decision items)**01/12 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE [Item 1]**

No apologies were received from County or Borough Councillors.
No Borough substitute Members attended the meeting.

02/12 MINUTES OF THE LAST MEETINGS – 13 October 2011 and 6 December 2011 [Item 2]

The minutes of the last meetings of the Local Committee (Surrey Heath) held on 13 October 2011 and 6 December 2011 were agreed and signed.

03/12 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]

Mr Fuller declared a personal interest in Item 11, based on the fact that he was a Trustee of the Lifetrain Trust.
Cllr Dougan declared a personal interest in Item 16, based on the fact that he lived on Southwell Park Road.

04/12 PETITIONS [Item 4]

One petition was received.

The petition was presented by Maureen Kulik and Sheila Harrison and entitled:

“Belmont Road, Watchetts Road, Gordon Road and Gordon Avenue – Damage & Vandalism Petition”.

Mrs. Kulik, the owner of Enigma in Belmont Road, explained that recently there appeared to have been a sustained campaign of vandalism to both businesses and private properties in the area of the aforementioned roads. Responses to Mrs Kulik’s petition by local residents indicated that the vandalism had been occurring over a prolonged period of time and many properties in the area had been affected, seriously impacting on people’s lives.

The petition, which was submitted with a damage survey, requested that steps be taken to control anti-social behaviour in Belmont Road, Watchetts Road, Gordon Road and Gordon Avenue.

It was agreed to receive the petition and to bring a response to the next meeting of the Local Committee. The Chairman stated that the petition would be of interest primarily to Surrey Police, and might not fall directly within the remit of the Local Committee.

05/12 WRITTEN PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 5]

Two written public questions were received. A copy of the questions, responses and any supplementary questions is set out in Annex B.

06/12 WRITTEN MEMBERS QUESTIONS [Item 6]

None were received.

[Cllr Hawkins arrived at 18:50]

Executive Items for Information Only**07/12 SURREY FIRE AND RESCUE SERVICE'S BOROUGH PLAN FOR SURREY HEATH 2011/12 [Item 7]**

Alan Clark, Area Manager, West Area Command, introduced the report, highlighting that Surrey Heath continued to be one of the safest boroughs in Surrey. Mr Clark stated that combating deliberate fires would be an area of focus for the service going forward.

Mr Fuller commended Mr Clark for his work.

Resolved: to note the achievements of the borough teams and the targets and initiatives set within the Surrey Heath borough plan for 2011/12, and to support the Fire & Rescue Service in the delivery of the plan.

08/12 SCC STREET LIGHTING PFI PROJECT UPDATE [Item 8]

Paul Smith, Contract Manager, presented the update and provided details of the project's history. 70,000 lights would be replaced over 5 years, and the supplier (Skanska) also had a 25 year maintenance contract, which commenced at the same time as the 5 year replacement programme. The programme was progressing well and was ahead of schedule.

There was a tabled amendment to page 39 of the published Committee papers, which provided information on the Core Investment Programme.

The Chairmen asked how street lights in difficult to access pathways would be reached. Mr Smith stated that Skanska had specialised vehicles and equipment with which this work could be carried out.

Mr Chapman enquired about conservation areas in Surrey Heath (e.g. Upper Gordon Road), asking who would be involved in agreeing the design of replacement lights and how they would be funded. Mr Smith confirmed that a survey had been undertaken around the county, and stated that there was a budget in place to pay for heritage street lights in conservation areas. Discussions were also taking place with Borough Council conservation officers and residents' groups, and it might be appropriate to explore additional funding opportunities with such groups. Mr Smith offered to work with Mr Chapman in order to address any on-going concerns.

Cllr Brooks indicated that he was unaware of any consultation being carried out in conservation areas. Paul Smith offered to discuss Cllr Brooks' concerns with him directly.

Cllr Innicki raised concerns over previous examples of PFI projects going over budget, and asked for more information on the maintenance contract and what work had been undertaken to determine value for money. Mr Smith stated that the contract would cost £89m in total,

which would also incorporate maintenance costs, and Surrey County Council would be due to save £5m through the PFI project.

The Chairmen, who had been a member of the Committee tasked with considering the project, stated that it represented good value for money. Further details could be made available on request. Mr Fuller highlighted the fact that details of the audit and details of the PFI balance sheet could be accessed by the public.

Cllr White complimented Mr Smith on the efficiency of the project, but reported that there had been a number of complaints about the brightness of the new street lights. Mr Smith confirmed that the brightness of street lights could be controlled centrally, and therefore dimming would be possible. This could be done for both individual street lights and groups of lights in response to specific requirements. It was standard practice for lights to be dimmed for a set period overnight, but further dimming could be explored. It would also be possible to use shrouds on individual street lights to adjust the direction of the light emitted.

In response to his request, Mr Smith agreed to speak to Mr MacLeod with regard to conservation areas in Bagshot.

The Chairmen congratulated Mr Smith on a well managed project.

09/12 SURREY 2012 PROGRESS REPORT [Item 9]

Surriya Subramaniam introduced the report, providing details of the business, community and health benefits of the 2012 Olympics and Paralympics. Mr Subramaniam stated that cycling events would be taking place in Surrey on 28 and 29 July and 1 August, which would be free to spectators. Details of this and other events were available on the website: www.gosurrey.info. A legacy for Surrey was also highlighted as a key priority.

The Chairman recognised the tremendous work of Mr Subramaniam's team.

Mrs Sealy commended the fact that the Surrey Youth Games were genuinely inclusive, and recognised the involvement of children with disabilities in the launch event.

Resolved: to note the work of the Surrey 2012 Team and to continue to advocate the wider programme.

Executive Items for Decision

10/12 MEMBER ALLOCATIONS 2011/12 [Item 10]

Michelle Collins, Community Partnership Team Leader (West), introduced the report, which listed and made recommendations on bids received for Members' Allocations that had been sponsored by at least one Member.

There were tabled additions to the report, which amended paragraphs 12 and 13 to include £2,000 revenue for additional play equipment sponsored by Mrs Sealy, and bids for Heritage Street Lighting in Frimley Green Road (£13,574) and Camberley Job Club (£2,700) as set out in the tabled item. Mrs Collins also announced a late bid at the meeting for Bisley Pavilion & Surrounds Improvements (£1,680).

Mrs Collins confirmed that, for Members with funds remaining in their allocations, it would still be possible for officers to approve bids for sums no greater than £1,000 received prior to the end of the financial year.

Resolved:

- (i) to agree the allocations detailed in paragraphs 11, 12 and 13, the tabled additions and the late bid announced at the meeting
- (ii) to note the allocations agreed under delegated powers as set out in paragraph 14 of the report
- (iii) to note the total allocations made during 2011/12 as detailed in Annex A of the officer report

11/12 LOCAL PREVENTION FRAMEWORK [Item 11]

Anthony Durno, South West Manager for the Youth Support Service, presented the report and outlined the aims of the Local Prevention Framework (LPF), which was designed to increase provision while reducing the budget.

Concerns were raised over apparently increasing competition between Collingwood College and Tomlinscote School, and whether this might affect the relationship between the members of the Surrey Heath Area Partnership for Education (SHAPE) and the provision of the LPF contracts. Mr Durno confirmed that the contract would be monitored to ensure that stated outcomes were being achieved.

Mrs Sealy stressed that the information contained in the Collingwood College bid presentation, made to the Local Committee Task Group in January 2012, should be reflected in the contract, which should also make clear that the funding would be for the benefit of all schools. Mr Durno stated that the presentation could be attached as an annex to the contract and an amendment to 'part a' of the officer recommendation was tabled to reflect this.

The two contracts being recommended to the Local Committee were designed to establish provision across the borough, but would also allow focus on the Old Dean and St Michaels areas. Awarding contracts to two providers should help to ensure borough-wide coverage.

Mr Durno also highlighted the fact that information held by Surrey County Council as the corporate parent of looked-after children could

inform services provided as those children often had the greatest needs.

Resolved:

To award a contract for a twelve-month period to the following providers:

- a) Collingwood College for 50% (£42,500) of the total contract value of £85,000; the contract will specifically include the presentation to the Task Group, which included the use of the vocational centre and outdoor learning opportunities, and will reflect the information therein
- b) Surrey Clubs for Young People for 50% (£42,500) of the total contract value of £85,000

12/12 YOUTH SMALL GRANTS [Item 12]

Michelle Collins introduced the report, explaining the process for decision-making on Youth Small Grants. Anthony Durno and Derek Dowden, Youth Staff Development Officer, also contributed information.

Bids received before the first Local Committee meeting of the financial year would be included in a report for consideration at that meeting. Thereafter, under delegated powers, officers would be able to make decisions on bids under £1,000, and bids of over £1,000 would be considered for approval by the Local Committee.

Given that organisations might prefer to receive funding early in the financial year, a large proportion of the funds available might be awarded at the first Local Committee meeting of the year.

Understanding which organisations existed locally, publicising the existence of this fund and ensuring existing networks were connected would be key to the initiative's success. Suggestions to enlist the support of the Surrey Youth Council, engage with the Faith Sector and put a link on the Surrey Heath Borough Council website were acknowledged as useful in this regard. Mr Durno confirmed that work in these areas was on-going. Efforts had also been made to ensure the application form was brief and accessible.

Resolved:

- (i) to approve the process for processing Youth Small Grants so that the Services for Young People can start to advertise the fund to local community groups
- (ii) to approve the process for approving Youth Small Grants as set out within paragraphs 2.3 – 2.6 of the report

Part B – In Public (voting by county and borough members on decision items)

Executive Items for Decision

13/12 HIGHWAYS UPDATE [Item 13]

Andrew Milne, Highways Area Manager (NW), presented the update, providing details of progress made with the Integrated Transport Scheme highways and developer funded schemes, and the current Community Pride spend position.

Mr Milne stated that £18,000 of the Community Pride allocation had been committed to date. That did not take into account Mr MacLeod's allocation of £5,000, which Mr MacLeod stated had by then also been committed.

Mr Fuller raised concerns over the Community Pride scheme, stating that there had been difficulties in determining what it could be spent on. Managing the scheme had also taken up a lot of officer time. Mr Fuller asked if it would be possible to reconsider how the scheme might be managed in future. The Chairman added that he had similar concerns over Community Pride, and that Local Committee Members would need to consider how the funds should be coordinated in future.

Mr Chapman raised the issue of cars and other vehicles being parked for prolonged periods of time on the public highway, in some instances advertising local companies and services. Mr Milne clarified that Surrey County Council had a process for addressing such situations, and asked for Councillors to report individual cases.

Resolved:

- (i) to note the progress with the Integrated Transport Schemes (ITS) highways and developer funded schemes
- (ii) to note the Community Pride spend position
- (iii) to note that a further Highways update report is to be brought back to the next meeting of the Committee

14/12 RED ROAD, LIGHTWATER – RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR CREATING A SAFE CROSSING POINT [Item 14]

Andrew Milne presented the response, recommending that no further action be taken in response to the request to provide pedestrian crossing facilities. Instead, the report recommended that Surrey Highways request that Defence Estates undertake further vegetation work on the heathland side to improve visibility in the vicinity of access points.

Mr MacLeod brought to the Local Committee's attention the fact that 6 fatalities had occurred on the Red Road, and numerous other

accidents and injuries. He assured Members and residents that he was committed to making the road safer.

Local Committee Members agreed that speed on the Red Road is an on-going concern and highlighted the fact that the development of Deepcut should help towards reducing speed along the Red Road. Members also stressed the importance of the Committee being involvement in planning processes, including Section 106 agreements and the use of resulting funds.

Resolved: to note the contents of the report.

15/12 CLEWS LANE, BISLEY – RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR TRAFFIC CALMING AND SPEED REDUCTION [Item 15]

Andrew Milne introduced the report, which recommended that, considering the cost balanced against public benefit, no further action be taken with regard to the provision of traffic calming in Clews Lane, and that existing signing should be enhanced to give better warning of the presence of the playground and the bend / junction.

Previous experience had shown that plates advising a maximum speed of 20mph had been very effective, and Mr Milne suggested that the use of such signs would be appropriate in this instance. A speed survey was planned for early in the new financial year, the results of which might lead to Surrey Police being asked to undertake enforcement. Mr Milne highlighted the fact that these measures would not carry significant costs and could be achieved relatively quickly.

Mrs Sealy agreed that motorists reducing their speed to 20mph should help significantly.

Resolved: to note the contents of the report.

[Mrs Sealy left the meeting at 21:00]

18/12 HIGH STREET, CAMBERLEY – EXPERIMENTAL ROAD CLOSURE [Item 18]

Andrew Milne presented the report, which highlighted the link between large numbers of people visiting evening / late night venues and an increased risk in accidents and incidents of anti-social behaviour and public disturbance. A 6-week trial had already been carried out, which Surrey Police reported had brought about a 50% reduction in crime.

It was proposed that a section of Camberley High Street would be closed, at the times specified in the officer report, by a swing gate, which would be operated by enforcement officers and Surrey Police, and would be funded by Section 106 monies from the Atrium development.

Local Committee Members indicated their support for these measures, and noted that taxi drivers servicing Camberley High Street also welcomed the proposals.

Resolved:

- (i) to approve the advertisement of an Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (for a period of 15 months) to close the section of Camberley High Street between Portesbery Road and St Georges Road (as shown on the plan attached at Annex 1) at the times and dates specified in paragraph 2.2 of the report
- (ii) to approve that any comments received during the period of the experimental closure should be considered by the Area Team Manager for Highways in consultation with the Divisional Member and Chairman
- (iii) to approve the advertisement of a Traffic Regulation Order to make the closures permanent if no irresolvable objections are received in response to the experimental closure, and that this issue only be returned to Committee if any objections prove insurmountable

19/12 GUILDFORD ROAD, LIGHTWATER – PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT RELATED HIGHWAY MEASURES [Item 19]

Andrew Milne presented the report, highlighting the fact that the proposed measures would increase visibility for vehicles exiting the development, and would also restrain the speed of traffic on the Guildford Road.

Cllr Dougan cited recent examples of traffic calming measures in Southwell Park Road and Grand Avenue, Camberley, having to be re-installed to ensure efficacy, and urged the Highways team to make certain that the first installation would be fit for purpose. The Chairman stated that, while having to reinstall such measures is not desirable, this would not have incurred any extra costs.

Resolved:

- (i) to approve the advertisement of a notice in accordance with the Highways Act 1980 detailing the proposed removal of the existing footway build out and the introduction of a new speed table at the location shown in the plan attached at Annex A, and subject to no objections being maintained agreed that the measures be constructed
- (ii) to approve that any objections received should be considered and resolved by the Area Team Manager for Highways in consultation with the Divisional Member and Chairman, and that this issue only be returned to Committee if any objections prove insurmountable

[Mr Pitt left the meeting at 21:15]

16/12 ANNUAL REVIEW OF ON-STREET PARKING IN SURREY HEATH [Item 16]

Jack Roberts, Parking Engineer, introduced the report, inviting Committee Members to comment on proposals in their wards.

Amendments to officer recommendations (i) and (iii) and an additional recommendation, as set out in recommendation (v), were tabled at the Local Committee meeting. Drawing PC0102/01 was also tabled as Annex B. A further tabled amendment to the officer report set out proposals for Harcourt Road, Camberley, as follows:

Harcourt Road – extend existing double yellow lines from the junction with Frimley Road to maintain access and sight lines for the exit to a new co-op and car park situated at 19 Frimley Road. The entrance to the co-op car park is via Frimley Road and the exit via Harcourt Road.

Cllr Dougan identified a potential issue in France Hill Drive and the need for provision of on-site parking at schools. The Chairman highlighted parking in Parkside as a concern.

Mr Fuller stated that he is in communication with Siemens, who have voiced their frustration with members of staff parking in surrounding roads. Mr Fuller asked Local Committee Members to contact him if they have any issues they would like raised with Siemens.

Resolved:

- (i) to agree the proposed amendments to on-street parking restrictions in Surrey Heath as described in this report and shown in detail on drawings presented at the Committee meeting as Annex A, with the removal of the proposed double yellow lines on Guildford Road, north of All Saints Road, that are shown on Drawing 3282/1311 revision D, amended to include the proposals along Harcourt Road, Camberley, as set out in the tabled report, and the inclusion of double yellow lines between 34 – 44 Farm Road (Drawing 3282/1372) to join up the existing and proposed waiting restrictions
- (ii) to agree to allocate funding as detailed in paragraph 6.1 of the report to proceed with the introduction of the parking amendments
- (iii) to agree that the intention of the County Council to make an Order under the relevant parts of the Road Traffic Regulations Act 1984 to impose the waiting and on-street parking restrictions in Surrey Heath as shown on the drawings in Annex A, amended as per recommendation (i), are advertised and that, if no objections are maintained, the Order is made
- (iv) to agree that the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager will consider and try to resolve any objections, and that a decision on any remaining unresolved objections will be made

by the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager in consultation with the Chairman, Vice Chairman and the relevant County Councillor

- (v) to approve the proposal for additional lengths of 20-minute limited waiting parking places in Guildford Road, Lightwater, as indicated in Drawing PC0102/01 (tabled Annex B), in preference to the proposed double yellow lines that are shown on Drawing 3282/1311 revision D, as appended to the report (Annex A)

17/12 SURREY'S DRIVE SMART ROAD SAFETY AND ANTI-SOCIAL DRIVING STRATEGY, AND SURREY HEATH'S LOCAL SPEED MANAGEMENT [Item 17]

The Chairman introduced this report in the absence of Duncan Knox, Road Safety Team Manager, who was unable to attend the Local Committee meeting. Members were invited to comment on the report and to propose additions to the speed management plan. No such additions were put forward.

The Chairman noted the omission of information on congestion and anti-social parking from the report. Cllr Vivienne Chapman highlighted the speed watch activity at Crawley Ridge School as very successful.

Resolved:

- (i) to consider and commented upon the draft Drive SMART Road Safety and Anti-social Driving Strategy ahead of the strategy being presented to the County Council Cabinet for approval
- (ii) to consider and comment upon the latest version of the local speed management plan to ensure Surrey Police and county council road safety colleagues are targeting the Drive SMART resources at the sites that need them most

Executive Items for Information Only

20/12 FORWARD PLAN [Item 20]

The report was for information only.

It was noted that the dates provided in the report for 2012 Local Committee meetings were incorrect, and should read 5 July and 18 October.

The meeting finished at 21:30.

Chairman

Annex A**Open Public Question Time – notes**

- 1. Reg Ward, resident in Windlesham**
Will it take an accident to get traffic calming measures approved at the junction of Chertsey Road and Heath Park Drive?

Reply from Andrew Milne, Highways Area Manager (NW)

Surrey County Council has a duty to ensure that money is spent on sites most in need, which is governed in part by whether any accidents have already occurred. I would have to refer to the relevant Traffic Engineer for previous information.

- 2. Cyril Pavey, resident in Camberley**
Is it known what proportion of pupils is taken to school by car? Is it worth introducing a target to help reduce numbers?

Reply from the Chairman

We cannot dictate to parents how they should be taking their children to school, or prevent people from driving if they so wish. The Surrey County Council Travel Plan, however, does encourage people to walk or cycle if possible.

- 3. Laura Phillips, resident in West End**
Would Surrey County Council be able to fund the refurbishment of the play area in Rosewood Way, West End?

Reply from the Chairman

I would advise you to speak to your local County Councillor on this matter – Mrs Lavinia Sealy – who should be able to provide suitable advice.

- 4. Cllr Rebecca Jennings-Evans, Windlesham Parish Council**
Would Surrey County Council consider adding Briar Avenue, Lightwater, to its priority gritting routes?

Reply from the Chairman

We will refer your question to the Council's Highways team.

- 5. Mrs England, resident in Chobham (question asked by Mrs Sealy)**
Would it be possible to address concerns that the light emanating from the commercial site on Alpha Road, Chobham, is potentially hazardous due to it affecting drivers' vision, and is also causing a nuisance?

Reply from Andrew Milne, Highways Area Manager (NW)

Surrey County Council Highways can take the matter up with Surrey Heath Borough Council on behalf of residents as a matter of environmental health. It may also be appropriate to refer the matter to Surrey Police and the Accident Prevention Officer.

6. **Suzanne Sharman, resident in Chobham (question asked by Mrs Sealy)**

Since its recent upgrade, the street light outside our property is shining directly into our house / garden and is causing a nuisance. Would Surrey County Council street lighting engineers be able to look investigate this and possibly change the direction and brightness of the light?

Reply from Paul Smith, Street Lighting PFI Project Contract Manger

Actual output of street lights has not changed, but in some instances the change of colour has led to the perception that lights are brighter. It is possible to shield lights to prevent them emitting light in certain directions, and I am happy to look at this individual case to find an appropriate solution.

7. **Brian Leigh, resident in St Michaels**

What are the rules and regulations around dogs fouling in public places?

Reply from Cllr Vivienne Chapman

The use of signage and bins can help to tackle on-going problems in specific areas. I would be happy to take details and discuss this with you further.

8. **Ian Harrison, resident in Camberley**

With Surrey County Council opting to increase council tax by 3% next year, why are Surrey residents having to pay more than the Government wants us to?

Reply from the Chairman

The Government's offer represents a short-term gain but a long-term loss. Surrey County Council has managed to save £130m in the last 2 years, and plans to save a further £300m over the next 5 years. This is at a time when the adult social care bill is increasing, which currently constitutes 40% of the budget, and the need to increase school capacity will generate further significant costs.

Annex B**Written Public Questions [Item 5]**

i)

Q. Written Question from Mr. David Chesneau, Chair of The Camberley Society, in connection with the junction of the High Street and Portesbery Road/Pembroke Broadway, Camberley town centre.

Would the county council consider either of the following two options in order to improve the safety of pedestrians crossing Portesbery Road near the junction of the High Street and Portesbery Road/Pembroke Broadway, Camberley town centre?

1. Move the tactile studs and central traffic island eastwards a relatively short distance, where the road seems wide enough to accommodate a crossing point, and visibility for pedestrians would be significantly improved.
2. Extend the pavement into what at the moment is the nearside lane, substantially extending the line of sight for pedestrians and shortening the distance that they have to travel to reach the central traffic island.

If neither of these options is deemed to be effective and feasible, what alternative solutions can be implemented?

***NB** This constitutes a summary of Mr Chesneau's original submission, which was table at the Local Committee meeting.*

A. Response from Andrew Milne, Surrey County Council Highways Area Manager (NW), on behalf of the Chairman and the Local Committee:

The issue has been investigated by officers in the North West Area Highways team, who have provided the following comments:

- The site has been inspected and whilst the hoarding partially restricts the sight line, pedestrians on the southern side of Portesbery Road have a reasonable level of visibility towards Knoll Road when standing immediately behind the kerb. However, visibility is reduced if you are unable to step right up to the edge of the carriageway (for example, when pushing either a wheelchair or pushchair).
- The situation could be improved by moving the existing crossing point in an easterly direction or building out the kerb line (on the southern side of Portesbery Road) as suggested. However, these options would involve significant cost and could result in additional congestion. Surrey County Council (SCC) has therefore initially written to the owners of the site requesting that the hoarding is moved back to improve visibility for pedestrians. If they do not agree to do this, then the alternative options for improving the situation will be considered in more detail.

- The hoarding is only temporary and will be removed once the site is developed. Whilst planning applications have previously been made on the site these have been refused. SCC (as the local Highway Authority) will be consulted about any further applications submitted and will look to ensure that visibility for pedestrians is protected.

It is hoped that the above comments are of assistance and help to reassure the Camberley Society that the County Council is seeking to address their concerns about pedestrian safety.

Supplementary Question

With regard to Surrey County Council contacting the owners of the site to request that the hoarding is moved back to improve visibility, how quickly might this be done?

A. Response from Andrew Milne, Highways Area Manager (NW)

Further comment could be brought back to the next meeting of the Local Committee (5 July 2012), but it is hoped that the developer will provide assistance before then.

ii)

Q. Written Questions from Mr. Roy Hammond, High View Road, Lightwater, in connection with Surrey County Council's Street Lighting PFI Project.

1. When will the public street lighting in **High View Road** be replaced? I have found no sign of High View Road (or Curley Hill Road for that matter) in the Skanska street lighting replacement programme <http://surreystreetlighting.skanska.co.uk/CIP/Programme.pdf> . Other adjacent roads Ambleside and Macdonald were/are listed.
2. When will the public street lighting in **Cranwell Grove** be replaced? Although the lighting in Cranwell Grove was programmed for replacement in December last year, it has not been done and that road is no longer appears in the Skanska programme as updated 3rd February 2012.

A. Response from Paul Smith, Surrey County Council Contact Manager, on behalf of the Chairman and the Local Committee:

1. High View Road is a private road and as such is not subject to the standard replacement process. We will soon be starting the replacement programme in private, part private and un-adopted roads. We will be contacting residents of these roads to give them 6 weeks notice. The lights will be replaced with standard columns as throughout the majority of the county.

If a resident is unhappy with this, the following options are available for private, part private and un-adopted roads:

- Install standard equipment in line with the current replacement programme.
- Fund/Pay for special design equipment in their roads.
- Opt out of the Contract completely and take responsibility for all costs, maintenance and energy billing moving forward.

If further assistance or information is required, please also forward enquiries to Skanska at surreylightingservices@skanska.co.uk.

2. The replacement of the single column in Cranwell Grove was completed on 14 February 2012. The update of this lighting unit was delayed due to the need to have the power company attend the installation. This can take up to 30 days and as such the replacement was delayed.